arXiv:1609.06714v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 21 Sep 2016

Parafermionic wires at the interface of chiral topological states

Luiz H. Santos[1] and Taylor L. Hughes[1]

[1] *Department of Physics and Institute for Condensed Matter Theory, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1110 West Green Street, Urbana, Illinois, 61801-3080, USA*

(Dated: September 23, 2016)

We explore a scenario where local interactions form one-dimensional gapped interfaces between a pair of distinct chiral two-dimensional topological states – referred to as phases 1 and 2 – such that each gapped region terminates at a domain wall separating the chiral gapless edge states of these phases. We show that this type of T-junction supports point-like fractionalized excitations obeying parafermion statistics, thus implying that the one-dimensional gapped interface forms an effective topological parafermionic wire possessing a non-trivial ground state degeneracy. The physical properties of the anyon condensate that gives rise to the gapped interface are investigated. Remarkably, this condensate causes the gapped interface to behave as a type of anyon "Andreev reflector" in the bulk, whereby anyons from one phase, upon hitting the interface, can be transformed into a combination of reflected anyons and outgoing anyons from the other phase. Thus, we conclude that while different topological orders can be connected via gapped interfaces, the interfaces are themselves topological.

Topological phases of matter in 2+1-D are often characterized by a "bulk-boundary" correspondence. Bulk properties such as a topological band structure, quasiparticles exhibiting fractional statistics, or topological ground state degeneracy on manifolds with non-zero genus, go hand in hand with an associated set of boundary/interface states where a topological phase meets a different topological phase such as the vacuum. [1]

Topological phases appear in two general classes: symmetry protected [2–15], or those that have "intrinsic" topological order [16]. There are several important distinctions between these classes, e.g., differing constraints on the ability to open a gap in the edge state spectrum. For the first class, gapped boundaries can exist when the symmetry is broken explicitly or spontaneously. In the latter, interface states with non-vanishing chirality cannot be completely gapped, and, surprisingly, even in the absence of any symmetries, some interface states with *vanishing* chirality cannot be completely gapped either.[18] This observation may directly impact experiment since such an ungappable edge may exist in the $\nu = 2/3$ fractional quantum Hall effect, or at the interface between two fractional quantum Hall states with, e.g., filling factors $\nu = 1/3$ and $\nu = 1/5$. The latter interface cannot be gapped by any local interaction, essentially due to the completely incompatible bulk properties of the two topological phases.

In this article we focus on the complementary effect that allows disparate topological phases to support *gapped* interfaces, as they provide a domain for a wide-range of interesting physics. The existence of such an interface requires that a local gapping condition be satisfied [see discussion around Eq. (3)], which, physically amounts to the allowed formation of an "anyon condensate" at the interface. It has been established, for two dimensional Abelian topological phases, that each anyon condensate is in one-to-one correspondence with a mathematical structure called a "Lagrangian subgroup." [17–19] Each Lagrangian subgroup is a subset $\mathcal{M}$ of the set of anyons wherein (i) all quasiparticles have mutual bosonic statistics, and (ii) every quasiparticle not in $\mathcal{M}$ has non-trivial statistics with at least one quasiparticle of $\mathcal{M}$. Hence, the simultaneous condensation of the quasiparticles in $\mathcal{M}$ is allowed by (i), and will confine *all* the



FIG. 1: (color online) (a) An array of phases 1 (blue) and 2 (brown) showing the gapped interfaces along the x direction (green). Each gapped interface constitutes an anyon condensate that acts as an anyon Andreev reflector whereby certain quasiparticles of phase 1 are transformed into quasiparticles of phase 2 (and vice-versa) as they cross the interface; and quasiparticles can be reflected into different quasiparticle types. (b) Original chiral gapless edge states of the two phases. (c) Parafermion zero modes (black dots) are located at the T-junctions where the end points of the gapped interface define a domain wall between the chiral gapless edge modes of phases 1 and 2.

anyons of the theory by (ii). Of great interest are configurations where inequivalent anyon condensates, corresponding to inequivalent choices of $\mathcal{M}$, are formed in adjoining regions of a topological interface. Indeed, domain walls between these gapped regions have been shown to host non-Abelian defect bound states with parafermionic statistics.[20–27] Such bound states could be used as a platform for realizing topological quantum computation. [28]

In this letter we characterize a new family of 1D gapped systems that can be formed at the interface between different 2+1D Abelian topological phases. For our examples, we choose single-component chiral phases characterized by the topological invariants (K-matrices) k_1 and k_2, respectively. Hereafter we refer to these as phase 1 and phase 2. If these phases arise from quantum Hall states then we have $k_{1,2} = \nu_{1,2}^{-1}$, where ν is the filling fraction that measures the Hall conductance in fundamental units. More generally, for systems without U(1) charge conservation symmetry, $k_{1,2}$ count the number of distinct bulk quasiparticle types in each phase, and give the topological ground state degeneracy g^{k_i} of each system defined on a spatial manifold of genus g. For our discussion we will adopt the interface geometry in Fig. 1. The bulk topological phases share a gapped interface with each other, and they have a boundary with the vacuum that contains propagating chiral edge modes. The gapped interface terminates at points separating the gapless edge states of phases 1 and 2.

Our main finding is that such an interface forms a topologically non-trivial, 1D gapped system with a degenerate ground state manifold associated with parafermionic end states. We stress that the parafermions discussed here are not located at the domain walls between distinct gapped interfaces; rather, the they are located at domain walls between the *gapless* edge states of phases 1 and 2, as shown in Fig. 1. Therefore the physical scenario discussed here departs significantly from those of Refs. [20–24, 26, 27], and more closely matches the setup of Ref. 29, though here we are focused more on what is happening in the bulk, rather than the edge as in their discussion. Ultimately, our results identify that, while one can find gapped interpolations between 2D phases with different topological order, these are not trivial gapped regions; they are instead *topological* themselves.

In the following, we will illustrate our result with a bosonization description built from the pair of counter propagating modes stemming from the edge states of phases 1 and 2. We will construct the explicit form of the local, gap-opening interaction, provide a description of the interface anyon condensate, and discuss the onset of the topologically degenerate ground state manifold associated with the expectation value of a non-local operator. Finally, we will discuss the connection between bulk confinement-deconfinement transitions, edge-state transitions, and the bound parafermion modes.

1. Luttinger liquid description of the interface – In Fig. 1(a), we consider an array of 2D topological states in phase 1 (blue) and phase 2 (brown), surrounded by the vacuum. As shown in the Supplementary Material (SM), the most generic gappable interface for one-component states is characterized by $k_1 = pn^2$ and $k_2 = pm^2$, where p, m, and n are positive integers. At each interface along the x-direction, the edge states originating from phases 1 (right-moving) and 2 (left-moving) are propagating in opposite directions. The low energy description of a given interface is given by the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_x = \frac{1}{4\pi}\,\partial_t\,\Phi^T\,K\,\partial_x\,\Phi - \frac{1}{4\pi}\,\partial_x\,\Phi^T\,V\,\partial_x\,\Phi - \mathcal{H}_{\rm int}[\Phi]\,, \tag{1a}$$

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} p\,n^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -pm^2 \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{1b}$$

where $\phi_{1,2}$ represent the bosonic modes originating from the edge states of phases 1 and 2, V is a velocity matrix and $\mathcal{H}_{int}[\Phi]$ is a local interaction between the edge modes to be discussed below. The symmetric (in this case diagonal) K-matrix gives the structure of the equal-time commutation relations

$$[\partial_x\,\phi_i(t,x), \phi_j(t,y)] = -2\,\pi\,\mathrm{i}\,K^{-1}_{ij}\,\delta(x-y)\,. \tag{2}$$

To simplify our discussion we will choose $m = 1$ and provide the details for $m > 1$ in the SM. This case is also the most experimentally relevant as it includes interfaces between a $\nu = 1$ integer quantum Hall state with, e.g., a $\nu = 1/9$ fractional quantum Hall state when $p = 1, n = 3$. [30, 31]

Adopting a compactification radius convention with $r = 1$ for the fields ϕ_i, the quasi-particle excitations on the edge are created by the vertex operators $\exp\left(\mathrm{i}\,\ell^T\,\Phi\right)$, where ℓ is an integer-valued 2-component vector. In this representation, the exchange statistics associated with taking a quasiparticle ℓ_a adiabatically around another quasiparticle ℓ_b is given by the statistical phase $S_{ab} = e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta_{ab}} = e^{\mathrm{i}\,2\pi\,\ell_a^T\,K^{-1}\,\ell_b}$, and the (topological) spin of each quasiparticle is given by the self-statistics phase $h_a = e^{\mathrm{i}\,\pi\,\ell_a^T\,K^{-1}\,\ell_a}$. Local excitations of the theory are identified with the vertex operator $\psi = e^{\mathrm{i}\,\Lambda^T\,K\,\Phi}$, where Λ is an integer vector.

In Eq. (1a) $\mathcal{H}_{\rm int}[\Phi]$ represents an interaction between the counter-propagating modes that will open a gap at the interface. This interaction, which involves coupling between *local* degrees of freedom, can be parametrized as $\mathcal{H}_{\rm int}[\Phi] = -J\,\cos\left(\Lambda^T\,K\,\Phi\right)$, where J is a coupling constant, and $\Lambda^T = (a, b)$ is an integer-valued 2-component vector satisfying the null vector criterion [32]

$$0 = \Lambda^T\,K\,\Lambda = p\,(a^2\,n^2 - b^2)\,. \tag{3}$$

The integer vector $\Lambda = (1, n)$ is a *primitive* solution[33] of (3) that represents a process where a single local operator

$\psi_1 = e^{\mathrm{i}\, p\, n^2\, \phi_1}$ of phase 1 couples with n local operators $\psi_2^\dagger = e^{-\mathrm{i}\, p\, \phi_2}$ of phase 2, giving rise to the interaction

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm int} = -J\cos\left(n\Theta\right) \propto -J\,\psi_1\,\underbrace{\psi_2^\dagger \ldots \psi_2^\dagger}_{n} + \text{h.c.}\,, \tag{4}$$

where $\Theta(x) \equiv p\, n\, \phi_1(x) - p\, \phi_2(x)$.

This interaction generates an anyon condensate at the interface as we will now describe. In phase 1, there are $p\, n^2$ quasiparticle-types labeled $\varepsilon_1^{a_1}$, $a_1 = 1, ..., p\, n^2$, and in phase 2, there are p quasiparticle-types labeled $\varepsilon_2^{a_2}$, $a_2 = 1, ..., p$. The set of anyons forms a discrete lattice[26, 34–38], and each anyon is topologically equivalent to anyons that differ only by the attachment of integer numbers of the local quasiparticles $\psi_i = \varepsilon_i^{k_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. In the context of a Laughlin fermionic (bosonic) state, ψ_i represents the local fermion (boson) of the i-th phase.

Now we note that in phase 1 the anyon set $\{\varepsilon_1^{p\, n\, x}, x = 1, ..., n\}$ contains mutual bosons or fermions with spin $h(\varepsilon_1^{p\, n\, x}) = e^{\mathrm{i}\pi p x^2}$. Moreover we note that the quasiparticle $\chi_1 \equiv \varepsilon_1^{p\, n}$ has the same spin as the local excitation ψ_2 of phase 2, i.e., they are both bosons or fermions depending on the parity of p. Physically this implies that the composite quasiparticle $\sigma \equiv \chi_1\, \psi_2^\dagger$ is a boson that can condense, and generate a fully gapped interface between phases 1 and 2. This condensation process, mathematically, is a consequence of the relation $k_1/k_2 = n^2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, which allows for the existence of a pn-dimensional Lagrangian subgroup $\mathcal{M}$ containing σ.

Importantly, the interaction (4) involves n local particle operators of phase 2 and a single local particle operator of phase 1. This interaction breaks the $U(1) \times U(1)$ particle conservation symmetries of each phase down to $\mathbb{Z}_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$, where $\mathbb{Z}_1$ means no symmetry. Hence the interaction (4) is invariant under S_β: $\psi_1 \to \psi_1$, $\psi_2 \to \psi_2 e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi\beta/n}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. If the phases began with a $U(1)_{EM}$ electromagnetic charge conservation symmetry, then this interaction breaks (preserves) the symmetry when the charge vector is $t^T = (1, 1)$ ($t^T = (n, 1)$). This discrete symmetry, it turns out, plays a fundamental role in the identification of the gapped interface as a topological parafermion wire similar to those studied in Refs. 39–45.

The topological properties of the gapped interface can be more transparently revealed by a description in the zero correlation length limit $J \to \infty$, where the interface Hamiltonian *density* is given solely by Eq. (4), thus leading to a gapped interface as depicted in Fig. 1(c). In this limit the ground state manifold contains n degenerate minima [$\Theta_q = 2\pi q/n$, $q = 1, ..., n$] associated with the vacuum expectation value of the composite bosonic operator $\sigma(x) = \chi_1(x)\, \psi_2^\dagger(x) = e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta(x)}$, which represents a bound state of the quasiparticle $\chi_1 = e^{\mathrm{i}\, pn\phi_1}$ with $\psi_2^\dagger = e^{-\mathrm{i}\, p\phi_2}$. We can find a representation of the ground state manifold in terms of the eigenstates of σ as

$$\forall x: \quad \sigma(x)\,|\,\Psi_q\,\rangle = \omega^q\,|\,\Psi_q\,\rangle\,, \quad q = 1, ..., n\,. \tag{5}$$

($\omega \equiv e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi}{n}}$).

The structure of the eigenstates (5) is in direct correspondence with symmetry broken states of the ferromagnetic, zero correlation length limit of an n-state clock model, where σ naturally acquires the interpretation of a clock operator satisfying $\sigma^n = 1$ and $\sigma^\dagger = \sigma^{n-1}$. However, while it would seem possible to distinguish among the degenerate states by a measurement of $\sigma(x)$, $\langle\, \Psi_q\,|\sigma(x)|\,\Psi_{q'}\,\rangle = \omega^q \delta_{q,q'}$ [which is equivalent to adding a perturbation $\delta\,\mathcal{H} = \delta\,\cos\left(\Theta\right)$ to the Hamiltonian (4)], the fact that $\sigma(x)$ is a *non-local* operator does not permit such a local distinction, and is a hallmark of the topological nature of the system. With this in mind, the eigenstates (5) indicate a degenerate symmetry breaking manifold associated with the global symmetry

$$\mathcal{S} \equiv S_{(\beta=-1)} = e^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n}\int_{x_L}^{x_R} dx\, \partial_x \phi_2(x)}\,, \tag{6}$$

whereby $S^\dagger \sigma(x) S = \omega\, \sigma(x)$, for $x_L \le x \le x_R$.

The topological nature of this system can be made explicit by changing from the clock to the parafermionic representation: [46]

$$\alpha(x) = \sigma(x)\, e^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n}\int_{x_L}^{x} dz\, \partial_z \phi_2(z)} \equiv \sigma(x)\, \xi(x)\,, \tag{7}$$

whereby the parafermion operator $\alpha(x)$ is a product of the "order", σ, and the "disorder", ξ, operators. The operators (7) satisfy standard parafermionic commutation relations

$$\alpha(x)\, \alpha(y) = \alpha(y)\, \alpha(x)\, e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi}{n}\mathrm{sgn}(y-x)}\,. \tag{8}$$

Importantly, we find that the boundary parafermion operators $\alpha(x_L) = \sigma(x_L)$, $\alpha(x_R) = \sigma(x_R)\, e^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n}\int_{x_L}^{x_R} dx'\, \partial_{x'} \phi_2(x')}$ commute with the Hamiltonian (4), and the degenerate ground state manifold is given by the eigenstates of the non-local operator $\mathcal{A} = \alpha^\dagger(x_L)\, \alpha(x_R)$: $\mathcal{A}|\,\Omega_a\,\rangle = \omega^a|\,\Omega_a\,\rangle$, $a = 1, \ldots, n$, where the $|\,\Omega_a\,\rangle$ are linear combinations of the $|\,\Psi_q\,\rangle$.

2. Edge transitions – As indicated in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c), the formation of the gapped interface prevents the edge modes of phases 1 and 2 from propagating in the x-direction. Any point $x \in (x_L, x_R)$ in the interior of the gapped interface establishes a domain wall between distinct gapped bulk topological phases. In turn, the end states of the gapped interface located at $x = x_{L,R}$ correspond to domain walls between topologically distinct *gapless* edge states. In fact, we shall explicitly demonstrate that, associated to each of the gapless edge transitions there is a parafermion operator with quantum dimension $\sqrt{n}$ obeying parafermion statistics. Thus, the existence of the parafermions at the end points of the gapped interface, each with quantum dimension $\sqrt{n}$, is a direct manifestation of the n-fold degeneracy of the ground state manifold of the gapped interface. Similar physics was first explored in Ref. [29] which focuses on transitions between distinct edge terminations of the

same bulk phase. Here we focus on the interface between different bulk phases, which will have an accompanying transition on the edge.

An important feature of the gappable topological interface is that the bulk phases 1 and 2 can be related to each other by the confinement (or deconfinement) of a $2+1$-D $\mathbb{Z}_n$ gauge theory. In order to see this, imagine phase 2 is coupled to a $\mathbb{Z}_n$ gauge theory in its deconfined phase. Let the gauge field α_μ describe the excitations of phase 2, and (a_μ, b_μ) the excitations of the $\mathbb{Z}_n$ gauge theory. Hence, the coupled system is described by the Abelian Chern-Simons theory:

$$\mathcal{L}_{2D} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\,\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\, c^I_\mu\, \bar{K}_{IJ}(p,n)\,\partial_\nu\, c^J_\lambda\,, \tag{9a}$$

where

$$\bar{K}(p,n) = \begin{pmatrix} p & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & n \\ 0 & n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad c_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_\mu \\ a_\mu \\ b_\mu \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9b}$$

Einstein summation convention is assumed for repeated indices and Greek indices take values $(0,1,2) = (t,x,y)$. In this basis, $e = (0,1,0)$ and $m = (0,0,1)$ represent the original charge and flux excitations of the $\mathbb{Z}_n$ gauge theory.

It is possible to show that, by a change of basis, K-matrix (9b) can be transformed into [49]

$$K_g \equiv W^T\,\bar{K}\,W = p\,n^2 \oplus \Sigma\,, \tag{10}$$

where $W \in \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$ and Σ represents a Pauli matrix, i.e., a trivial sector that can always be gapped out. Thus, Eq. (10) explicitly illustrates that phase 1 can be obtained from phase 2 by a gauging mechanism; reversely, phase 2 descends from phase 1 by confining the $\mathbb{Z}_n$ gauge theory. This kind of gauging mechanism has proven useful in understanding the classification of symmetry enriched topological states [47] and hidden anyonic symmetries[27].

Using this theory we can now explicitly prove the existence of domain-wall parafermions. To do this we analyze the edge states obtained from the bulk theory (9) and (10) in order to study the transitions between the edge phases 1 and 2. For that we model the gapless edge states propagating along one of the edges, say $x = x_L$, with the effective theory

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{x_L,\mathrm{y}} &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\,\partial_t \Phi'^T\, K_g\,\partial_y \Phi' + ... \\ &\quad + J_1(y)\,H_{int,1} + J_2(y)\,H_{int,2}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\Phi'^T(t,x_L,y) = (\phi_1'(t,x_L,y), \phi_2'(t,x_L,y), \phi_3'(t,x_L,y))$ are the edge fields. The interactions $H_{int,1}$ and $H_{int,2}$ will be chosen to stabilize the edge phases 1 and 2, respectively, in different spatial regions. To carry this out we use position-dependent coupling constants $J_1(y)$ and $J_2(y)$ such that, in regions where we want phase 1, $J_1 \to \infty$ and $J_2 = 0$, while for phase 2, $J_1 = 0$ and $J_2 \to \infty$. For the sake of concreteness, we shall take $p = 2\,q+1$ and $\Sigma = \sigma_z$ in (10), although similar results can be obtained for the $p = 2\,q$ case with $\Sigma = \sigma_x$.

The interaction choice

$$H_{int,1} = \cos\left(L_1^T\,K_g\,\Phi'\right), \quad L_1^T = (0,1,1) \tag{12}$$

will gap the trivial modes in Σ, which then yields the edge states of phase 1. Alternatively, the interaction

$$H_{int,2} = \cos\left(L_2^T\,K_g\,\Phi'\right), \quad L_2^T = (1, q\,n, (q+1)\,n) \tag{13}$$

gives rise to the edge state of phase 2, that is, it effectively leads to the confinement of the $\mathbb{Z}_n$ gauge theory. In order to see this, notice that the edge excitations that remain deconfined in the presence of the interaction (13) are described by vertex operators $\exp(\mathrm{i}\,\ell^T\,\Phi')$, such that $\ell^T\Phi'$ [with $\ell^T = (\ell_1,\ell_2,\ell_3)$] commutes with the argument of the interaction (13). From this condition, which is satisfied when $\ell_1 = -n\,[\ell_2\,q + (q+1)\ell_3]$, it is easy to see that the deconfined edge excitations are those of the phase 2 described by $k_2 = p = (2\,q+1)$. More intuitively, upon rewriting $H_{int,2} = \cos\left(L_2^T\,K_g\,\Phi'\right) = \cos\left(\bar{L}_2^T\,\bar{K}\,\bar{\Phi}'\right) = \cos\left(n\,\bar{\phi}_2'\right)$, with $\bar{L} = WL$ and $\bar{\Phi}' = W\Phi'$, (13) is seen as the expected "electric"-mass interaction that confines the excitations of the $\mathbb{Z}_n$ gauge theory.

Now let $R_1 = \cup_i\,(y_{2i-1}+\varepsilon, y_{2i}-\varepsilon)$ and $R_2 = \cup_i\,(y_{2i}+\varepsilon, y_{2i+1}-\varepsilon)$ be the segments in the $x = x_L$ edge corresponding to phases 1 and 2, respectively, where ε is an infinitesimal positive number that regulates the domain wall transitions (see Fig. 1(a)). It then follows that the operators defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_i &= \exp\Big[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n}\int_{y_{2i-1}-\varepsilon}^{y_{2i}+\varepsilon} dy\,\partial_y\left(L_2^T\,K'\,\Phi\right)\Big] \\ \mathcal{T}_i &= \exp\Big[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n}\int_{y_{2i}-\varepsilon}^{y_{2i+1}+\varepsilon} dy\,\partial_y\left(L_1^T\,K'\,\Phi\right)\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{14a}$$

commute with the edge Hamiltonian and satisfy the nontrivial commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{T}_i\,\mathcal{O}_i = \mathcal{O}_i\,\mathcal{T}_i\,e^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{n}} \\ &\mathcal{T}_{i-1}\,\mathcal{O}_i = \mathcal{O}_i\,\mathcal{T}_{i-1}\,e^{-\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{n}} \\ &\mathcal{T}_j\,\mathcal{O}_i = \mathcal{O}_i\,\mathcal{T}_j \quad \text{if } j \neq i-1, i\,.\end{aligned} \tag{14b}$$

The ground state manifold forms a representation of the algebra (14b), which implies a ground state degeneracy of n^{k-1} in the presence of $2\,k$ domain walls on the boundary, i.e., k gapped interfaces.

Guided by (14), parafermion operators located on the domain walls between phases 1 and 2 along the $x = x_L$

edge can be constructed as:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{x_L,2i} &= e^{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n}\left[L_2^T K_g \Phi'(y_{2i}+\varepsilon) - L_1^T K_g \Phi'(y_{2i}-\varepsilon)\right]} \\ \alpha_{x_L,2i+1} &= e^{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n}\left[L_1^T K_g \Phi'(y_{2i+1}+\varepsilon) - L_2^T K_g \Phi'(y_{2i+1}-\varepsilon)\right]},\end{aligned} \tag{15a}$$

which are readily shown to satisfy

$$\alpha_{x_L,k}\,\alpha_{x_L,\ell} = \alpha_{x_L,\ell}\,\alpha_{x_L,k}\,e^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{n}\,\mathrm{sgn}(k-\ell)\,(-1)^{k+\ell}}. \tag{15b}$$

For a generic gappable interface between one-component states we have the constraint $k_1 = \frac{n^2}{m^2}k_2$ which implies that the phases must be related by the confinement of a $\mathbb{Z}_m$ gauge theory, and the subsequent gauging and deconfinement of a $\mathbb{Z}_n$ symmetry. In these cases one would find $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ parafermions (see SM for more detail).

A realization of the algebra (14) has been studied in Ref. [29], for the transitions been chiral bosonic edge states with $k_1 = 2\,n^2$ and $k_2 = 2$. While their approach focused solely on the edge transitions of the same bulk phase, our formulation shows that the existence of non-trivial parafermionic modes (15) is a direct consequence of the formation of a gapped interface between different chiral topological states. Hence, we have generalized their result to arbitrary one-component edge transitions, and have shown that such transitions can originate from a bulk phenomenon associated with confinement-deconfinement transitions of discrete gauge theories. Additionally, since these parafermions appear at a "T-junction" between two chiral gapless states and the termination of their gapped interface, they represent a completely new physical phenomenon when compared with the cases studied in Refs. [20–24, 26, 27].

In closing we note that the gapped interface acts like an anyonic Andreev reflector in the bulk. Anyons from, say, phase 1 will hit the interface and be transformed into a combination of outgoing anyons in phase 2 as well as reflected anyons that remain in phase 1. For instance, take $p = 1, m = 1$ for simplicity. Then, as, for example, quasiparticle $\chi_1 = \varepsilon_1^n$ approaches the interface, a vacuum fluctuation can create a $(\psi_2, \bar{\psi}_2)$ pair in the region of phase 2 immediately adjacent to the interface; subsequently, the condensation of $(\chi_1\bar{\psi}_2)$ leaves behind the quasiparticle ψ_2 in phase 2, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The quasiparticles $\{\varepsilon_1^{n\,x}, x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ belonging to phase 1 can be absorbed by the gapped interface and fully transmuted into multiples of the local excitation ψ_2 of phase 2. Other anyons hitting the interface will be partially transmuted and partially reflected by the condensate. For example, if ε_1 hit the surface it could generate a ψ_2 in phase 2 as well as a reflected $\varepsilon_1^{(-n+1)}$.

In summary, we have shown that a gapped interface between different topologically ordered phases cannot be topologically trivial itself. The interpolation between the topological orders generates a quasi-1D topological parafermion phase which exhibits characteristic non-Abelian defect modes where the interface intersects the boundary of the system. Although we have only shown this for one-component interfaces, we expect the generalizations to more complicated interfaces to provide a rich set of phenomena. Furthermore, our result may aid in the interpretation of the topological entanglement entropy arising at heterointerfaces of topologically ordered phases as recently calculated in Ref. 48. We leave this to future work.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank J. Cano, E. Fradkin, M. Mulligan and M. Stone for useful conversations. LHS is supported by a fellowship from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation's EPiQS Initiative through Grant No. GBMF4305 at the University of Illinois. TLH is supported by the US National Science Foundation under grant DMR 1351895-CAR.

[1] X.-G. Wen, Quantum field theory of many-body systems, Oxford, UK: Univ. Pr. (2004)
[2] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 3045 (2010).
[3] J. E. Moore, Nature (London) **464**, 194 (2010).
[4] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 1057 (2011).
[5] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195125 (2008)
[6] X.-L. Qi, T. L. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195424 (2008).
[7] A. Kitaev, Proceedings of the conference "Advances in Theoretical Phyiscs: Landau Memorial Conference", (Chernogolovka, Russia, 2008) AIP Conf. Proc. **1134**, 22 (2009).
[8] F. Pollmann, A. M. Turner, E. Berg, and M. Oshikawa, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 064439 (2010).
[9] N. Schuch, D. Perez-Garcia, and I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 165139 (2011).
[10] X. Chen, Z. -C. Gu, Z. -X. Liu and X. -G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 155114 (2013).
[11] M. Levin and Z.-C. Gu, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 115109 (2012).
[12] Y.-M. Lu and A. Vishwanath, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 125119 (2012).
[13] A. Vishwanath and T. Senthil, Phys. Rev. X **3**, 011016 (2013).
[14] C. Wang, A. Potter, and T. Senthil, Science **343**, 629 (2014).
[15] Zhen Bi, Alex Rasmussen, and Cenke Xu, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 134404 (2015).
[16] X.-G. Wen, Adv. Phys. **44**, 405 (1995).
[17] A. Kapustin and N. Saulina, Nucl. Phys. B **845**, 393 (2011).
[18] M. Levin, Phys. Rev. X **3**, 021009 (2013).
[19] M. Barkeshli, C.-M. Jian, and X.-L. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 241103(R) (2013).
[20] N. H. Lindner, E. Berg, G. Refael, and A. Stern, Phys. Rev. X **2**, 041002 (2012).
[21] D. J. Clarke, J. Alicea, and K. Shtengel, Nature Com-

mun. **4**, 1348 (2013).
[22] M. Cheng, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 195126 (2012).
[23] A. Vaezi, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 035132 (2013).
[24] M. Barkeshli, C.-M. Jian, and X.-L. Qi, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 045130 (2013).
[25] R. S. K. Mong, D. J. Clarke, J. Alicea, N. H. Lindner, P. Fendley, C. Nayak, Y. Oreg, A. Stern, E. Berg, K. Shtengel, M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. X **4**, 011036 (2014).
[26] M. N. Khan, J. C. Y. Teo, and T. L. Hughes, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 235149 (2014).
[27] M. N. Khan, J. C. Y. Teo, T. L. Hughes, and S. Vishveshwara, arXiv:1603.04427 (unpulished).
[28] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1083 (2008).
[29] J. Cano, M. Cheng, M. Barkeshli, D. J. Clarke, and C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 195152 (2015).
[30] W. Pan, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, L. N. Pfeiffer, K. W. Baldwin, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 176802 (2002).
[31] W. Pan, J. S. Xia, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, C. Vicente, E. D. Adams, N. S. Sullivan, L. N. Pfeiffer, K. W. Baldwin, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 075307 (2008).
[32] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2090 (1995).
[33] M. Levin and A. Stern, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 115131 (2012).
[34] N. Read, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1502 (1990).
[35] J. Frohlich and A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. B**364**, 517 (1991).
[36] X-.G. Wen and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 2290 (1992).
[37] J. Frohlich and E. Thiram, J. Stat. Phys. **76**, 209 (1994).
[38] J. Cano, M. Cheng, M. Mulligan, C. Nayak, E. Plamadeala, and J. Yard, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 115116 (2014).
[39] A Yu Kitaev, Phys.-Usp. **44**, 131 (2001).
[40] P. Fendley, J. Stat. Mech. Theor. Exp. (2012) P11020.
[41] R. Bondesan and T. Quella, J. Stat. Mech. (2013) P10024.
[42] J. Motruk, E. Berg, A. M. Turner, and F. Pollmann, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 085115 (2013).
[43] A. S. Jermyn, R. S. K. Mong, J. Alicea, and P. Fendley, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 165106 (2014).
[44] Y. Zhuang, H. J. Chaglani, N. M. Tubman, and T. L. Hughes, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 035154 (2015).
[45] A. Alexandradinata, N. Regnault, C. Fang, M. J. Gilbert, and B. A. Bernevig, Phys. Rev. B **94** 125103 (2016).
[46] E. Fradkin and L. P. Kadanoff, Nucl. Phys. B**170**, (1980) 1-15.
[47] Y.-M. Lu and A. Vishwanath, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 155121 (2016).
[48] J. Cano, T. L. Hughes, and M. Mulligan, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 075104 (2015).
[49] See Supplementary Material.

Supplementary Material of *Parafermionic wires at the interface of chiral topological states*

GAPABILITY CONDITION AND PARAFERMIONS

Suppose we have an interface between two chiral, one-component theories with K-matrices k_1 and k_2 respectively, which are both positive integers. The K-matrix at the interface will be treated as $K = \mathrm{diag}[k_1, -k_2]$. The effective edge theory reads

$$\mathcal{L}_x = \frac{1}{4\pi}\,\partial_t\,\Phi^T\,K\,\partial_x\,\Phi + \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{int}}[\Phi] + \dots\,, \tag{S1a}$$

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -k_2 \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{int}}[\Phi] = \cos\left(\Lambda^T K \Phi\right)\,, \tag{S1b}$$

where $\phi_{1,2}$ represent the excitations originating from the edges states of phases 1 and 2, with equal-time commutation relations $[\partial_x\,\phi_i(t,x), \phi_j(t,y)] = -2\,\pi\,\mathrm{i}\,K^{-1}_{ij}\,\delta(x-y)$, and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{int}}[\Phi]$ is a gap opening interaction.

The null vector criterion, for a null vector $\Lambda = (a, b)$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ is

$$a^2 k_1 = b^2 k_2. \tag{S2}$$

Let us now consider this equation in its generality. To, simplify let $p = \gcd(k_1, k_2)$ such that $k_1 = p\bar{k}_1$ and $k_2 = p\bar{k}_2$. We will provide a simple physical interpretation for p later, but for now it serves to simplify the gapability constraint. We can reformulate Eq. S2 as

$$\frac{k_1}{k_2} = \frac{\bar{k}_1}{\bar{k}_2} = \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^2. \tag{S3}$$

We immediately see that p plays no role in the determination of this condition, and by construction $\bar{k}_1$ and $\bar{k}_2$ are relatively prime.

We can now multiply through to find

$$a^2 \bar{k}_1 = b^2 \bar{k}_2 \tag{S4}$$

and this implies, using the relatively prime condition, that $\bar{k}_1$ divides b^2 and that $\bar{k}_2$ divides a^2. As such we have the restrictions

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 &= \bar{k}_2 \gamma_a \\ b^2 &= \bar{k}_1 \gamma_b \end{aligned} \tag{S5}$$

where γ_a and γ_b are integers. Plugging this into Eq. S3 we arrive at $\gamma_a = \gamma_b$.

To summarize, we now have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= p\,\bar{k}_1, \quad k_2 = p\,\bar{k}_2 \\ a^2 &= \bar{k}_2 \gamma_a, \quad b^2 = \bar{k}_1 \gamma_a. \end{aligned} \tag{S6}$$

Now, in order for Λ to be a primitive vector, a and b cannot have common factors, hence $\gamma_a = 1$ if we enforce primitivity. Hence, we arrive at the result that the most generic gappable interface for two, one-component theories is $K = \mathrm{diag}[p\,m^2, -p\,n^2]$. Furthermore, a primitive gapping vector that will gap this theory takes the form $\Lambda = (n, m)$.

With this result we can gain a physical understanding. If we take the theory k_1 and gauge a Z_n subgroup of the global particle number conservation symmetry and take k_2 and gauge a Z_m subgroup of its particle number conservation symmetry then we will arrive at two theories with the same topological order, and which can hence be gapped. In fact, the gapability equation written as $k_1 = \frac{b^2}{a^2} k_2$ essentially encodes that if we take the theory k_2, confine a Z_a group and deconfine a Z_b group then we will arrive at the same topological order as k_1. From our constraints we see this is exactly true since a^2 divides k_2. The common factor g is interpreted as a common parent theory from which each topological phase k_1, k_2 can be reached by deconfining discrete $\mathbb{Z}_m$ and $\mathbb{Z}_n$ subgroups of $U(1)$ respectively.

Let us now look at the condensate at the interface. The gapping term can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{int} &= -J \int_{x_L}^{x_R} dx \cos\left[mn\left(pm\phi_1 - pn\phi_2\right)\right] \equiv -J \int_{x_L}^{x_R} dx \cos\left(mn\Theta\right) \\ &\propto -J \int dx\ (\psi_1)^n \left(\psi_2^\dagger\right)^m + \text{H.c.}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{S7}$$

It is important not to factor out p when considering the condensate. To see this let us consider an example for the case when $k_1 = 2$ and $k_2 = 8$ where $p = 2$, $m = 1$ and $n = 2$. In phase 1 the statistics are $\exp(i\pi r^2/2)$ where $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. The only fermion or boson in the quasiparticle set is when r is an even integer, i.e., the local boson in this case, which we will call ψ_1. For phase 2 the statistics are $\exp(i\pi r^2/8)$ and the quasiparticle with $r = 4$ is a (non-local) boson which we call χ_2. For this system we can form $(\psi_1 \chi_2^\dagger)^2 = \psi_1^2 \psi_2^\dagger$ to get a local condensate. The interaction that will generate this condensate is $J\cos\left(4\phi_1 - 8\phi_2\right) = J\cos(2(2\phi_1 - 4\phi_2))$. From the form of the condensate we see that the $U(1) \times U(1)$ group structure is broken to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_1$, and hence we expect there to be $\mathbb{Z}_2$ parafermions. In the generic case the symmetry is broken to $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ and hence a $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ parafermion results. To see this explicitly, note that the second line of (S7) makes explicit that the interaction pairs n operators of phase 1 with m operators of phase 2. The non-local operator $\sigma(x) = e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta(x)}$, where $\Theta(x) \equiv p\,m\,\phi_1(x) - p\,n\,\phi_2(x)$ shall be identified with a $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ clock variable.

From the form of this interaction, we can immediately write down three symmetry operations and their representations in terms of the bosonic fields:

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{S}_\alpha : \quad \psi_1 \to \psi_1\, e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi m\alpha}\,,\ \psi_2 \to \psi_2\, e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi n\alpha}\,, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\,, \\ &\tilde{S}_\alpha = e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\alpha \int_{x_L}^{x_R} dx\,(m\partial_x\phi_1 - n\partial_x\phi_2)}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{S8}$$

which is a U(1) symmetry of the interaction Hamiltonian when the topological phases have charge vector $t^T = (m, n)$.

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &S_{1,\beta_1} : \quad \psi_1 \to \psi_1\, e^{-\mathrm{i}2\pi\beta_1/n}\,,\ \psi_2 \to \psi_2\,, \quad \beta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}\,, \\ &S_{1,\beta_1} = e^{\mathrm{i}\,(\beta_1/n)\int_{x_L}^{x_R} dx\,\partial_x\phi_1}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{S9}$$

which accounts for a discrete $\mathbb{Z}_n$ associated with phase 1 and, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} &S_{2,\beta_2} : \quad \psi_1 \to \psi_1\,,\ \psi_2 \to \psi_2\, e^{-\mathrm{i}2\pi\beta_2/m}\,, \quad \beta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\,, \\ &S_{2,\beta_2} = e^{\mathrm{i}\,(\beta_2/m)\int_{x_L}^{x_R} dx\,\partial_x\phi_2}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{S10}$$

which accounts for a discrete $\mathbb{Z}_m$ associated with phase 2.

Importantly, while $\sigma(x)$ does not transform under the action of $\tilde{S}_\alpha$, it does so under the other two operators as follows:

$$S^\dagger_{1,\beta_1}\,\sigma\,S_{1,\beta_1}=\sigma\,e^{-\mathrm{i}2\pi\beta_1/mn}\,,\;\; S^\dagger_{2,\beta_2}\,\sigma\,S_{2,\beta_2}=\sigma\,e^{-\mathrm{i}2\pi\beta_2/mn} \tag{S11}$$

Therefore in the clock representation, the ground state degeneracy of the Hamiltonian (S7) becomes associated with the "symmetry broken" states

$$\forall x:\quad \sigma(x)\,|\,\Psi_q\,\rangle=\omega^q\,|\,\Psi_q\,\rangle\,,\quad q=1,...,mn\,, \tag{S12}$$

where $\omega\equiv e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi}{mn}}$. While it would seem that a particular eigenstate could be identified by the local measurement of $\sigma(x)$, $\langle\,\Psi_q\,|\sigma(x)|\,\Psi_{q'}\,\rangle=\omega^q\,\delta_{q,q'}$, it is fundamental to recognize that $\sigma(x)$ is *not* a local operator in terms of the original local degrees of freedom ψ_1 and ψ_2.

GAPPED INTERFACE FOR THE $m=1$ CASE

We show the existence of an operator that commutes with the interface Hamiltonian

$$H_{int}=\int_{x_L}^{x_R}dx\,\mathcal{H}_{\rm int}=-J\int_{x_L}^{x_R}dx\cos\left(n\Theta\right),\quad \Theta(x)\equiv p\,n\,\phi_1(x)-p\,\phi_2(x) \tag{S13}$$

and yields an n-fold degeneracy of the ground state of (S13). For that we seek a unitary transformation

$$\Sigma(a_1,a_2)=e^{\mathrm{i}\left[a_1\,\int_{xL}^{xR}\,dx\,\partial_x\,\phi_1(x)+a_2\,\int_{xL}^{xR}\,dx\,\partial_x\,\phi_2(x)\right]} \tag{S14}$$

parametrized by $a_{1,2}\in\mathbb{R}$ that commutes with the Hamiltonian (S13). With the equal time commutation relations $[\partial_x\,\phi_1(x),\phi_1(y)]=-\frac{2\,\pi\,\mathrm{i}}{p\,n^2}\,\delta(x-y)$, $[\partial_x\,\phi_2(x),\phi_2(y)]=\frac{2\,\pi\,\mathrm{i}}{p}\,\delta(x-y)$ and $[\partial_x\,\phi_1(x),\phi_2(y)]=[\partial_x\,\phi_2(x),\phi_1(y)]=0$, we find that $\Sigma^\dagger(a_1,a_2)\,\phi_1(x)\,\Sigma(a_1,a_2)=\phi_1(x)-\frac{2\pi a_1}{pn^2}$ and $\Sigma^\dagger(a_1,a_2)\,\phi_2(x)\,\Sigma(a_1,a_2)=\phi_2(x)+\frac{2\pi a_2}{p}$, where $x_L\le x\le x_R$. With that the local operators $\psi_1(x)=e^{\mathrm{i}pn^2\phi_1(x)}$ and $\psi_2(x)=e^{\mathrm{i}p\phi_2(x)}$ transform as: $\Sigma^\dagger(a_1,a_2)\;(\psi_1(x),\psi_2(x))\;\Sigma(a_1,a_2)=\left(\psi_1(x)\,e^{-\mathrm{i}2\pi a_1},\psi_2(x)\,e^{+\mathrm{i}2\pi a_2}\right)$. It the follows

$$[\Sigma^\dagger(a_1,a_2),H_{int}]=0\quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad a_1+na_2=t\in\mathbb{Z}\,. \tag{S15}$$

Following (S15), we can parametrize the operator Σ as

$$\Sigma(\alpha,\beta)=e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\alpha\int_{x_L}^{xR}dx\,\partial_x(n\phi_1-\phi_2)}\,e^{\mathrm{i}\,(\beta/n)\int_{x_L}^{xR}dx\,\partial_x\phi_2}\equiv\tilde{S}_\alpha\,S_\beta\,,\quad \alpha\in\mathbb{R}\,,\;\beta\in\mathbb{Z}\,. \tag{S16}$$

$\tilde{S}_\alpha$ can be interpreted as a U(1) symmetry generator when the edge modes carry electromagnetic charge with the charge vector $t=(n,1)$:

$$\tilde{S}_\alpha:\psi_1\to\psi_1e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi n\alpha}\,,\;\psi_2\to\psi_2e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi\alpha}\,,\quad \alpha\in\mathbb{R}\,. \tag{S17a}$$

The operator S_β, on the other hand, reflects the fact that the edge Hamiltonian gives rise to an n-particle condensate of phase 2; associated to this interaction is the invariance

$$S_\beta:\psi_1\to\psi_1\,,\psi_2\to\psi_2e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi\beta/n}\,,\quad \beta\in\mathbb{Z}\,. \tag{S17b}$$

Moreover we find from (S17) that

$$\tilde{S}^\dagger_\alpha\,\sigma(x)\,\tilde{S}_\alpha=\sigma(x)\,,\quad S^\dagger_\beta\,\sigma(x)\,S_\beta=\omega^{-\beta}\,\sigma(x)\,. \tag{S18}$$

Of particular interest are the operators

$$\mathcal{S}=e^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n}\int_{x_L}^{xR}dx\,\partial_x\phi_2} \tag{S19}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}=e^{\mathrm{i}\int_{x_L}^{xR}dx\,\partial_x(n\phi_1-\phi_2)}\,e^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n}\int_{x_L}^{xR}dx\,\partial_x\phi_2}=\sigma^\dagger(x_L)\sigma(x_R)\,e^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n}\int_{x_L}^{xR}dx\,\partial_x\phi_2}\equiv\alpha^\dagger(x_L)\,\alpha(x_R)\,, \tag{S20}$$

where $\alpha(x_L)=\sigma(x_L)$ and $\alpha(x_R)=\sigma(x_R)\,e^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n}\int_{x_L}^{xR}dx\,\partial_x\phi_2}$ are parafermion operators[S1] defined at the ends of the interface. The topological property of the gapped interface is manifested by the presence of these zero modes whereby the n-fold degeneracy is encoded in the n eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{A}$, which commutes with H_{int}. Furthermore, after mapping parafermion operators into clock operators $(\sigma(x),\tau(x))$, the ground state n-fold degeneracy becomes associated with the symmetry breaking of the clock model, where the generator of the global $\mathbb{Z}_n$ symmetry is $\mathcal{S}=\prod_x\tau(x)$. In the following we discuss this mapping between clock and parafermion operators in further detail.

Lattice Regularization: Parafermion/Clock Representations

We now discuss a lattice regularization of the interaction Hamiltonian (S13) responsible for gapping the interface between phases 1 and 2. Such regularization serves a useful purpose in allowing a microscopic representation of the parafermion end states, in connection with recent microscopic models of topological parafermion chains [S2–S8].

We address first the lattice regularization in terms of clock variables. As we have seen, the Hamiltonian (S13) commutes with the operator $\mathcal{S}$ defined in Eq. S19. This operator can be identified with the generator of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ transformations of the clock operator $\sigma(x)$ via $\mathcal{S}^\dagger\,\sigma(x)\,\mathcal{S} = \omega\,\sigma(x)$. In this language, the ground state degeneracy of the Hamiltonian (S13) becomes associated with the "symmetry broken" states

$$\forall x: \quad \sigma(x)\,|\,\Psi_q\,\rangle = \omega^q\,|\,\Psi_q\,\rangle\,, \quad q = 1, ..., n\,, \tag{S21}$$

where $\omega \equiv e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi}{n}}$. While it would seem that a particular eigenstate could be identified by the local measurement of $\sigma(x)$, $\langle\,\Psi_q\,|\sigma(x)|\,\Psi_{q'}\,\rangle = \omega^q\,\delta_{q,q'}$, it is fundamental to recognize that $\sigma(x)$ is *not* a local operator in terms of the original local degrees of freedom ψ_1 and ψ_2.

Despite its non-local character, it is still useful to identify $\sigma(x)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_n$ clock operator, with $\sigma^\dagger(x) = \sigma^{(n-1)}(x)$, while acting on the ground state manifold Eq. S21. Note that, since $\Theta(x)$ is a bosonic operator, i.e., $[\Theta(x), \Theta(x')] = 0$ for any coordinates x and x', so is σ: $\sigma(x)\sigma(x') = \sigma(x')\sigma(x)$, for $x \neq x'$. With that we are led to re-expresses the interaction (S13) using the relation

$$e^{\mathrm{i}n\Theta(x)} = \lim_{\delta\to 0} e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta(x)}\,e^{\mathrm{i}(n-1)\Theta(x+\delta)} = \lim_{\delta\to 0}\sigma(x)\sigma^\dagger(x+\delta)\,. \tag{S22}$$

Eq.(S22) motivates introducing a lattice regularization by defining clock operators σ_i at every site $i \in 1, ..., L$ of the open chain and the canonically conjugated operators τ_i satisfying $\tau_i^\dagger\sigma_i\tau_i = \omega\sigma_i$. Then a lattice regularization of the interaction (S13) reads $H_{Lattice} = -J_L\,\sum_{i=1}^{L}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}^\dagger + \text{H.c.}$, whose n degenerate ground states satisfy $\sigma_i|\,\tilde{\Psi}_q\,\rangle = \omega^q|\,\tilde{\Psi}_q\,\rangle$, for $q = 1, ..., n$, in direct correspondence with (S21).

In the lattice representation, introduce of operators $(\alpha_{2i-1}, \alpha_{2i})$ at every site of the open chain defined by [S1, S3]

$$\alpha_{2j-1} = \sigma_j\prod_{k=1}^{j-1}\tau_k\,, \quad \alpha_{2j} = \omega^{(N-1)/2}\sigma_j\prod_{k=1}^{j}\tau_k\,. \tag{S23}$$

These new operators satisfy parafermion statistics [S1]

$$\alpha_i\alpha_j = \omega^{\mathrm{sgn}(j-i)}\alpha_j\alpha_i\,. \tag{S24}$$

The field theory equivalent of the parafermion operators (S23) reads

$$\alpha(x) = \sigma(x)\,e^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{n}\int_{x_L}^{x} dz\,\partial_z\phi_2(z)}\,, \tag{S25}$$

satisfying the commutation relations

$$\alpha(x)\,\alpha(y) = \alpha(y)\,\alpha(x)\,e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{2\pi}{n}\mathrm{sgn}(y-x)}\,. \tag{S26}$$

In the parafermion representation the lattice Hamiltonian acquires the form

$$H_{Lattice} = -J_L\sum_{i=1}^{L}\omega^{(n-1)/2}\alpha_{2i+1}^\dagger\alpha_{2i} + \text{H.c.}\,. \tag{S27}$$

Notably, α_1 and α_{2L} do not appear in the Hamiltonian; for $n = 2$ these "dangling" parafermions reduce to the Majorana end states in the topological Kitaev chain. [S2] Manifestly, $[H_{Lattice},\ \mathcal{A}_{lattice}] = 0$, where $\mathcal{A}_{lattice} = \alpha_1^\dagger\alpha_{2L}$ is the non-local operator connecting the parafermion end state through the gapped bulk.

$2+1$D CHIRAL TOPOLOGICAL STATE COUPLED TO $\mathbb{Z}_n$ GAUGE THEORY

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\,\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\,c_\mu^I\,\bar{K}_{IJ}(p,n)\,\partial_\nu\,c_\lambda^J\,, \tag{S28a}$$

FIG. S1: (color online) Lattice regularization of the Hamiltonian (S13). The non-trivial dimerization pattern encodes the presence of parafermion excitations at the end points the of chain.

where

$$\bar{K}_{IJ}(p,n) = \begin{pmatrix} p & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & n \\ 0 & n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ a \\ b \end{pmatrix} . \tag{S28b}$$

$\bar{K}(2q+1,n)$ and $\bar{K}(2q,n)$ describe, respectively a $\nu = 1/(2q+1)$ fermionic and a $\nu = 1/(2q)$ bosonic Laughlin state coupled to a deconfined $\mathbb{Z}_n$ gauge theory. The original charge and flux excitations of the $\mathbb{Z}_n$ gauge theory are described, respectively, by $e = (0,1,0)$ and $m = (0,0,1)$.

For the choice $p = 2q+1$, the GL(3, $\mathbb{Z}$) transformation

$$W_F = \begin{pmatrix} n & 1 & -1 \\ n(2q+1) & q & -(q+1) \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \mathrm{Det}[W_F] = -1 , \tag{S29}$$

implements

$$W_F^T \, \bar{K}(2q+1,n) \, W_F = (2q+1)\, n^2 \, \oplus \sigma_z \equiv K_g(2q+1,n) . \tag{S30}$$

For the choice $p = 2q$, the GL(3, $\mathbb{Z}$) transformation

$$W_B = \begin{pmatrix} -n & -1 & 0 \\ -2qn & -q & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \mathrm{Det}[W_B] = 1 , \tag{S31}$$

implements

$$W_B^T \, \bar{K}(2q,n) \, W_B = 2q\, n^2 \, \oplus \sigma_x \equiv K_g(2q,n) . \tag{S32}$$

The edge states associated with the bulk theory (S28) are described by the $1+1$D theory

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_t \bar{\Phi}^T \, \bar{K} \, \partial_x \bar{\Phi} + ... = \frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_t \Phi^T \, K_g \, \partial_x \Phi + ... , \tag{S33}$$

where $\bar{\Phi} = W \, \Phi$ sets the relation between the edge fields $\bar{\Phi}^T = (\bar{\phi}_1, \bar{\phi}_2, \bar{\phi}_3)$ and $\Phi^T = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$ of the two representations.

As discussed in the main text, in the representation given by K_g, the interaction for the case $p = 2q+1$

$$V_1 = \cos\left(L_1^T \, K_g \, \Phi\right) , \quad L_1^T = (0,1,1) \tag{S34}$$

gaps the "extra" trivial modes, which then yields the edge state of phase 1. Alternatively, the interaction

$$V_2 = \cos\left(L_2^T \, K_g \, \Phi\right) , \quad L_2^T = (1, q\, n, (q+1)\, n) \tag{S35}$$

gives rise to the edge state of phase 2.

We can now establish a relation between null vectors in the two representations by noticing that, if L is a null vector of K_g, such that, $L^T \, K_g \, L = 0$, then $\bar{L} = W \, L$ is the corresponding null vector of $\bar{K}$, since $\bar{L}^T \, \bar{K} \, \bar{L} = L^T W^T \bar{K} W L = L^T \, K_g \, L = 0$. By making use of this relationship, we find

$$V_1 = \cos\left(\bar{L}_1^T \, \bar{K} \, \bar{\Phi}\right) = \cos\left(\bar{\phi}_1 - n\bar{\phi}_3\right) , \quad \bar{L}_1^T = (W L_1)^T = (0,-1,0) \tag{S36}$$

and

$$V_2 = \cos\left(\bar{L}_2^T \, \bar{K} \, \bar{\Phi}\right) = \cos\left(n\bar{\phi}_2\right) , \quad \bar{L}_2^T = (W L_2)^T = (0,0,1) . \tag{S37}$$

It is clear then from Eqs. (S36) and (S37) that the vacuum associated with $\langle V_2 \rangle \neq 0$ confines the excitations of the $\mathbb{Z}_n$ gauge theory yielding the original phase 2. Moreover, in the basis given by $\bar{K}$, the deconfined excitations in the vacuum where $\langle V_1 \rangle \neq 0$ are described by vertex operators $\exp\left(\bar{\ell}^T \bar{\Phi}\right)$, with $\bar{\ell}^T = (\bar{\ell}_1, \bar{\ell}_2, \bar{\ell}_3)$, such that $\bar{\ell}^T \bar{L}_1 = -\bar{\ell}_2 = 0$. Then computing the braiding statistics of two excitations $\bar{\ell} = (\ell_1, 0, \ell_3)$ and $\bar{m} = (m_1, 0, m_3)$ we find $\ell^T \bar{K}^{-1} \bar{m} = \frac{(\ell_3 + n\ell_1)(m_3 + nm_1)}{(2q+1)n^2}$, which accounts for the chiral phase with $k_1 = (2q+1)n^2$. This result is consistent with the topological state having pn^2 classes of quasiparticles $\{m^1, \ldots, m^{pn^2}\}$, where m^1 represents the deconfined magnetic flux originating from the $\mathbb{Z}_n$ gauge theory and $\psi_1 = m^{pn}$ represents the fermion (boson) of the $k = p$ theory [for p even (odd)], which becomes a non-local quasiparticle upon coupling to the deconfined gauge theory.

GAPPED PARAFERMION INTERFACE BEHAVES AS AN ANYON ANDREEV REFLECTOR

Setting $p = 1$ for simplicity, suppose a gapped interface between phases 1 and 2 is formed, which physically implies the condensation of the bosonic field $\Theta(x) = n\phi_1(x) - \phi_2(x)$, so that $\langle e^{\mathrm{i}\Theta} \rangle = \langle e^{\mathrm{i}(n\phi_1 - \phi_2)} \rangle \propto \langle \chi_1 \bar{\psi}_2 \rangle \neq 0$. (Equivalently, $\langle e^{-\mathrm{i}\Theta} \rangle \propto \langle \bar{\chi}_1 \psi_2 \rangle \neq 0$.) Hence, the gapped spectrum originates from the condensation of $\chi_1 \bar{\psi}_2$, or, equivalently, of $\bar{\chi}_1 \psi_2$. This anyon condensate implies that the gapped interface behaves as a anyon "Andreev reflector" in the following sense.

Let an excitation ψ_2 approach the gapped edge (for $p = 1$, this is the only quasiparticle of the phase 2). Moreover, suppose that the pair $\chi_1 \bar{\chi}_1$ is produced from vacuum fluctuations on the topological system 1 near the interface. Then due to the nature of the condensation on the interface, the pair $\bar{\chi}_1 \psi_2$ can be condensed and absorbed by the interface, while leaving behind the deconfined excitation χ_1 on the other side of the interface.

Reversing the logic, we can ask: what happens if a quasiparticle ε_1^ℓ ($\ell \in \{1, ..., n^2\}$) belonging to phase 1 approaches the interface where it interacts with the condensate? Since the only allowed condensation process involves the quasiparticle χ_1, the following non-trivial process that can occur: close to the interface, (1) the incoming quasiparticle decays into $\varepsilon_1^\ell = \varepsilon_1^{\ell - pn} \chi_1$ and, (2) the pair $\psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2$ is produced from vacuum fluctuations on the topological system 2 near the interface such that, when the condensation $\langle \chi_1 \bar{\psi}_2 \rangle \neq 0$ occurs, it leaves behind the deconfined excitations $\varepsilon_1^{\ell - pn} = \varepsilon_1^\ell \bar{\chi}_1$ and ψ_2 on different sides of the interface. In particular, the case $\ell = pn$ represents an incoming χ_1 quasiparticle, which can be completely absorbed by the interface while the ψ_2 excitation appears on the other side.

COMMUTATION RELATION OF VERTEX OPERATORS

Define the operators:

$$\Gamma_k = e^{\mathrm{i}\, c_k \int_{y_k}^{z_k} dx\, \partial_x \left(L_k^T \cdot \Phi(x) \right)} = e^{\mathrm{i}\, c_k \left[L_k^T \cdot \Phi(z_k) - L_k^T \cdot \Phi(y_k) \right]}, \tag{S38}$$

where $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ is a real coefficient and (y_k, z_k) is a finite interval on the line. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma_k \Gamma_p = \Gamma_p \Gamma_k e^{\mathrm{i}\,\Theta_{kp}}, \\ &\Theta_{kp} = -\pi\, c_k\, c_p\, L_k^T \cdot K^{-1} \cdot L_p \Big[\mathrm{sgn}(z_k - z_p) - \mathrm{sgn}(z_k - y_p) - \mathrm{sgn}(y_k - z_p) + \mathrm{sgn}(y_k - y_p) \Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{S39}$$

It can be easily seen from the commutation relations of the edge fields that, if two intervals (y_k, z_k) and (y_p, z_p) are non overlapping or one of intervals is entirely contained within the other, than $\Theta_{kp} = 0$, which implies that $[\Gamma_k, \Gamma_p] = 0$. If, however, the intervals (y_k, z_k) and (y_p, z_p) are partially overlapping such that $y_k < y_p < z_k < z_p$, then it follows that $\Theta_{kp} = 2\,\pi\, c_k\, c_p\, L_k^T \cdot K^{-1} \cdot L_p$.

[S1] E. Fradkin and L. P. Kadanoff, Nucl. Phys. B**170**, (1980) 1-15.
[S2] A Yu Kitaev, Phys.-Usp. **44**, 131 (2001).
[S3] P. Fendley, J. Stat. Mech. Theor. Exp. (2012) P11020.
[S4] R. Bondesan and T. Quella, J. Stat. Mech. (2013) P10024.
[S5] J. Motruk, E. Berg, A. M. Turner, and F. Pollmann, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 085115 (2013).
[S6] A. S. Jermyn, R. S. K. Mong, J. Alicea, and P. Fendley, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 165106 (2014).
[S7] Y. Zhuang, H. J. Chaglani, N. M. Tubman, and T. L. Hughes, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 035154 (2015).
[S8] A. Alexandradinata, N. Regnault, C. Fang, M. J. Gilbert, and B. A. Bernevig, Phys. Rev. B **94** 125103 (2016).